POLI210: Political Science Research Methods

Lecture 6.2: The comparative method

Olivier Bergeron-Boutin

October 7th 2021

Boring admin stuff

- · Assignment 3 due on Monday October 25th, 11:59pm
 - \cdot See email for OH and tutoring
- Quiz 1 from the 25th to the 27th
 - · How to prepare: review lecture slides
- · UNICEF fundraiser: make me dress up for Halloween

The comparative method

- The comparative method: select a few cases and compare them
- How many cases? From 2 to roughly 10
- But not too many because the benefit of this method is in-depth knowledge of the cases
- · Two designs:
 - Most-similar-systems design (MSS)
 - Most-different-systems design (MDS)

The Most-Similar Systems design

What is the Most-Similar-Systems design?

- · Select cases that are:
 - · Similar in terms of many potential confounders/IVs
 - But different in terms of the outcome (DV)
- This "controls for" the confounders by holding them constant
- The question is then: what explains the difference in outcome?
 - · We look for a variable that differs between the cases



Ghana's Freedom House score (2019): 83/100

Togo's Freedom House score (2019): 43/100

Variable	Togo	Ghana	
Climate	Hot	Hot	
Income	Low	Low	
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous	
Largest religion	Christian	Christian	
Other religions	Other religions Islam, Traditional		

Variable	Togo	Ghana	
Climate	Hot	Hot	
Income	Low	Low	
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous	
Largest religion	Christian	Christian	
Other religions	Islam, Traditional	Islam, Traditional	

 $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ We think of climate, income, diversity, and religion as potential confounders

Variable	Togo	Ghana
Climate	Hot	Hot
Income	Low	Low
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous
Largest religion	Christian	Christian
Other religions Islam, Traditional		Islam, Traditional

- · We think of climate, income, diversity, and religion as potential confounders
- \cdot In an observational (non-experimental) setting, the causal relationship between X and Y can only be uncovered if we adjust for confounders
- In the case of comparative method, "adjusting for" means finding a case with similar values of the confounder
 - Ethnic diversity cannot explain the difference in outcome (democracy score), because it's the same in Ghana as in Togo

Variable	Togo	Ghana	
Climate	Hot	Hot	
Income	Low	Low	
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous	
Largest religion	Christian Christian		
Other religions	Islam, Traditional	Islam, Traditional	

- · We think of climate, income, diversity, and religion as potential confounders
- \cdot In an observational (non-experimental) setting, the causal relationship between X and Y can only be uncovered if we adjust for confounders
- In the case of comparative method, "adjusting for" means finding a case with similar values of the confounder
 - Ethnic diversity cannot explain the difference in outcome (democracy score), because it's the same in Ghana as in Togo
- · The question: what is different between Ghana and Togo?

Variable	Togo	Ghana	
Climate	Hot	Hot	
Income	Low	Low	
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous	
Largest religion	Christian	Christian	
Other religions	Islam, Traditional	Islam, Traditional	
Colonizer	France	United Kingdom	

Colonizer France		United Kingdom	
Other religions	Islam, Traditional	Islam, Traditional	
Largest religion	Christian	Christian	
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous	
Income	Low	Low	
Climate	Hot	Hot	
Variable	Togo	Ghana	

- · This is a highly stylized demonstration!
- We would want more precise measurement (not just "hot" or "low!")
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ So we are convinced the confounders have truly been accounted for

Variable	Togo	Ghana	
Climate	Hot	Hot	
Income	Low	Low	
Ethnic diversity	Heterogenous	Heterogenous	
Largest religion	Christian	Christian	
Other religions	Islam, Traditional	Islam, Traditional	
Colonizer	Colonizer France		

- · This is a highly stylized demonstration!
- We would want more precise measurement (not just "hot" or "low!")
 - \cdot So we are convinced the confounders have truly been accounted for
- · We would also want an account of how Colonizer
 ightarrow Regime
 - Benefit of small-N research is to know the cases in depth
 - · How did the British rule Ghana? How did they come to leave Ghana?
 - · In short: what is the causal mechanism?

- · Views causality as a deterministic process
 - \cdot If X is present, then Y necessarily behaves in such and such a way for all units
 - · Generally better to think of causality as a probabilistic phenomenon
 - $\cdot\,\,$ If X is present, Y is more likely to behave in such and such a way

- · Views causality as a deterministic process
 - \cdot If X is present, then Y necessarily behaves in such and such a way for all units
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ Generally better to think of causality as a probabilistic phenomenon
 - $\cdot\,\,$ If X is present, Y is more likely to behave in such and such a way
- · We tend to measure confounders very roughly
 - · e.g. "High" or "Low" ethnic diversity
 - $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ The more complicated the operationalization, the harder it is

- · Views causality as a deterministic process
 - \cdot If X is present, then Y necessarily behaves in such and such a way for all units
 - · Generally better to think of causality as a probabilistic phenomenon
 - \cdot If X is present, Y is more likely to behave in such and such a way
- · We tend to measure confounders very roughly
 - · e.g. "High" or "Low" ethnic diversity
 - · The more complicated the operationalization, the harder it is
- · Can be hard to account for all confounders
 - · Especially given the small N
 - Unlikely to find two cases that are exactly the same on all relevant confounders, except for the IV of interest

Most-different-systems design

- · Selects cases that are:
 - Very different in terms of independent variables
 - · Yet very similar in terms of outcome
 - By finding one independent variable that is the same across cases, we can point to it as the cause of the outcome
 - · But not very convincingly see later
 - \cdot By saying that $X_1,X_2,X_3...$ are all different between the two cases despite the similar Y, we can show that the X's are not necessary conditions for Y to occur

Country	Pop. density	Colonized?	Wealthy?	Political system?	Populist parties? (DV)
А	High	Yes	No	Federal	Yes
В	Low	No	Yes	Federal	Yes

Selecting on the dependent variable

In the MDS design, the DV does not vary by construction

- \cdot You select cases that have the same Y
- · What's the problem?

Selecting on the dependent variable

In the MDS design, the DV does not vary by construction

- \cdot You select cases that have the same Y
- · What's the problem?
 - · Imagine we were to study the causes of death
 - · One approach: select on the DV and study only people who have died
 - · Can we find something they have in common?
 - They all drank water!
 - But the people who have not died also drank water...
 - · But we don't see that, because we didn't include them in our sample

Selecting on the dependent variable

In the MDS design, the DV does not vary by construction

- \cdot You select cases that have the same Y
- · What's the problem?
 - · Imagine we were to study the causes of death
 - · One approach: select on the DV and study only people who have died
 - · Can we find something they have in common?
 - · They all drank water!
 - · But the people who have not died also drank water...
 - · But we don't see that, because we didn't include them in our sample
- This is at the heart of Geddes' critique of Theda Skocpol
 - · Skocpol is interested in the causes of revolutions
 - She studies countries in which revolutions occured France, China, and Russia
 - · She finds what the countries had in common: external threat
 - What she doesn't see are all cases of countries under external threat that did not undergo a revolution

Michael Moore's Bowling for Columbine

What flavor of the comparative method does Michael Moore (implicitly) use?

Do you find it convincing? Why or why not?

- If you find it convincing: what evidence would convince you it's wrong?
- If you're not convinced: what more do you need to see in order to be convinced?

Purposive sampling

Why not identify a universe of relevant cases and sample randomly?

- The benefits of randomization are "lost" in small samples
 - If I randomly select 100 of you into group A and another 100 into group B, chances are the groups will be very similar on average
 - But if I select just one of you into each group, very unlikely I'll get similar people
- As in case studies, we believe some cases give us more leverage over our research question
 - · Specifically, selecting cases based on similarity/difference
- Practical considerations: I know some of the cases much better than others!
 - We want detailed description of processes and events
 - I certainly couldn't tell you much about Togo (though I could include it in a statistical model)